IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE
Timothy Matthews
(Name of Plaintiff or Plaintiffs)
Mountaire farms of
Delaware
(Name of Defendant or Defendants) COMPLAINT COMPLAINT
1. This action is brought pursuant to Title VI of the Civil Rights Fell Refalication (Federal statute on which action is based) for discrimination related to Refaliation jurisdiction exists by virtue of a control of the Civil Rights Fell Refalication (Federal statute on which action is based) (In what area did discrimination occur? e.g. race, sex, religion)
(Federal statute on which jurisdiction is based)
2. Plaintiff resides at PO BOX 1453 Sea ford Sussex Delaware 19973 (City) (County) (State) (Zip Code) (Area Code) (Phone Number)
3. Defendant resides at, or its business is located at P.D. BOX 1320 (Street Address) (City) (County) (State) (Zip Code)
4. The alleged discriminatory acts occurred on 15 (Day), (Month) (Year)
Retaliation 5. The alleged discriminatory practice \Box is \Box is not continuing.

6.	Plaintiff(s) file	d charges	with th	· Dept. of Labor			
24	N.W.	Front	Stee	(Agency)			
	•	Address)	•	(City) (County) (State) (Zip)			
regardi	ng defendant(s)	alleged d	iscrimin	natory conduct on: 12-27-04 (Date)			
.7.	Attach decision of the agency which investigated the charges referred in paragraph 6 above.						
8.	Was an appeal taken from the agency's decision? Yes □ No □						
9.	If yes, to whom was the appeal taken? Retaliation The discriminatory acts alleged in this suit concern: (Describe facts on additional sheets if						
necessa		-		•			
	<u>A</u>	Hack	ned	Copy !			
10.			nt's coi	Refalitory nduct is discriminatory with respect to the following: Plaintiff's race			
		В.		Plaintiff's color			
		C.		Plaintiff's sex			
		D.		Plaintiff's religion			
		E.		Plaintiff's national origin			

11. Plaintiff prays for the following relief: (Indicate the exact relief requested)

tanitive	damage \$200,0000	
Monitare	damage & 50, 000 00	
·	to 61 \$ 250.0000	

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated: 5-18-06

(Signature of Plaintiff)



ATTORNEYS AT Law www.margolisedelstein.com

DELAWARE OFFICE: 1509 GILPIN AVENUE WILMINGTON, DE 19806 302-777-4680 FAX 302-777-4682

KERI L.MORRIS, ESQUIRE kmorris@margolisedelstein.com

PHILADELPHIA OFFICE:*
THE CURTIS CENTER, 4TH FLOOR
601 WALNUT STREET
INDEPENDENCE SOUARE WEST
PHILADELPHIA, PA 19106-3304
215-922-1100
FAX 215-922-1772

HARRISBURG OFFICE:* 3510 TRINDLE ROAD CAMP HILL, PA 17011 717-975-8114 FAX 717-975-8124

PITTSBURGH OFFICE: 310 GRANT STREET THE GRANT BUILDING, SUITE 1500 PITTSBURGH, PA 15219 412-281-4256 FAX 412-642-2380

SCRANTON OFFICE: THE OPPENHEIM BUILDING 409 LACKAWANNA AVENUE SUITE 3C SCRANTON, PA 18503 570-342-4231 FAX 570-342-4841

SOUTH NEW JERSEY OFFICE:*
SENTRY OFFICE PLAZA
216 HADDON AVENUE, 2ND FLOOR
P.O. BOX 92222
WESTMONT, NJ 08108
856-858-7200
FAX 856-858-1017

NORTH NEW JERSEY OFFICE: CONNELL CORPORATE CENTER THREE CONNELL DRIVE SUITE 6200 BERKELEY HEIGHTS, NJ 07922 908-790-1401 FAX 908-790-1486 Ms. Trina Wheedleton Labor Law Enforcement Officer Delaware Department of Labor Division of Industrial Affairs 24 N.W. Front Street Milford, DE 19963

RE: Timothy Matthews v. Mountaire Farms of Delaware Case No. 04120905/17CA500152

September 20, 2005

Dear Trina:

I am responding on behalf of my client, Mr. Timothy Matthews, to your letter dated September 5, 2005 regarding the above-captioned matter. I have had the opportunity to meet with my client and discuss the specifics of his case and provide the following in support of Mr. Matthews' position.

With respect to Mr. Mathews' disability allegations, he has advised that he never made a complaint of disability discrimination. At the time he met with the representative of the Department of Labor in December 2004, he had indicated that he was out for certain medical reasons. It was based upon this admission that the Officer believed Mr. Matthews wanted to file a charge for disability discrimination. Mr. Matthews is in agreement that Mountaire did not discriminate against him based on his disability and therefore has no additional evidence to provide in support of this claim.

With respect to Mr. Matthews' allegations of race discrimination and retaliation, below is a factual background with respect to the allegations:

In or around August 2004, prior to leaving for a run, Mr. Matthews learned that someone had placed an obstruction to his brake line on his vehicle. He made a complaint to his immediate supervisor, Rolland Lynch. After Mr. Matthews advised Mr. Lynch of his complaint, Mr. Lynch had advised Mr. Matthews that, "if he [Lynch] finds out who did this, they are out of here". According to Mr. Matthews, after further investigation by the company and after learning that it was Mr. Betts who placed the obstruction in Mr. Matthews' brake line, Mr. Lynch's demeanor

^{*} MEMBER OF THE HARMONIE GROUP

Ms. Trina Wheedleton September 20, 2005 Page 2

changed and said that it would be handled internally. Mr. Matthews continued to follow up with the appropriate officials at Mountaire and was ultimately yelled at by Mr. Lynch when he stated, "what do you want me to do?" During these conversations between Mr. Matthews and Mr. Lynch, Mr. Matthews advised Mr. Lynch that he believed the behavior was based on race, i.e. that the incident was racially motivated and that Mr. Matthews felt that he was the subject of race discrimination.

Thereafter, and as a result of his internal complaint of race discrimination, Mr. Matthews believes he was the subject of retaliatory treatment by Mountaire Farms. Specifically, in December 2004, he had been out on medical leave for a condition called vertigo. He was subsequently cleared by his primary care physician to return to work. He returned to work and provided his medical clearance to the nurse working at Mountaire Farms. The nurse advised Mr. Matthews that he needed a more specific note, saying that "he was medically cleared to return to work with no restrictions." Mr. Matthews complied with this request. Thereafter, the nurse cleared Mr. Matthews to return to work and he began work that same day. Mr. Matthews' supervisor, Mr. Lynch, saw him that day and based upon information and belief, Mr. Lynch immediately contacted the nurse. Mr. Lynch thereafter contacted Mr. Matthews on his cell phone and advised him to return to the plant facility. When Mr. Matthews returned to the plant facility, he was advised to return to the nurse's office. When he returned to the nurse's office, she advised him that he was not cleared to return to work and he needed to see a neurologist for his condition and to obtain medical clearance. Mr. Matthews complied with the request of Mountaire Farms and saw a neurologist who subsequently cleared him to return to work. Mr. Matthews went back to the nurse at Mountaire Farms and provided the medical clearance note by his neurologist. He once again returned to work and was advised he needed to see the company physician. Mr. Matthews agreed, but the appointment was not able to be scheduled until the beginning of January 2005. All the while, Mr. Matthews was not receiving any compensation because he was not working.

Through Human Resources, Mr. Matthews explored the possibility of obtaining short-term disability while he was out, which he did finally receive. In the beginning of January 2005, Mr. Matthews went and saw the company physician. He was asked by the company physician why he was there to see him because the company physician was not certain on the medical reason for the appointment. The company physician examined Mr. Matthews and cleared him to return to work. It is important to note that the company physician questioned Mr. Matthews as to why Mountaire Farms needed the company physician to clear him to return to work when a neurologist had already provided a note supporting medical clearance.

After Mr. Matthews left the appointment with the company physician, he questioned the receptionist as to why it took so long to obtain the medical appointment. According to Mr. Matthews, the receptionist seemed confused by his query. Her response was, "normally a request to see the company physician is complied with on the same day or not later than the next day". However Mr. Matthews had to wait almost an entire month, again all the while, not receiving any compensation of any sort.

As you know, the *prima facie* elements to establish a retaliation case under Title VII of the Civil Right Act is that Charging Party must: (1) engage in protected activity;

Ms. Trina Wheedleton September 20, 2005 Page 3

Case 1:06-cv-00330-GMS

(2) he must be subject to some type of adverse employment action; and, (3) there must be a causal connection between the protected activity and the adverse employment action.

It is clear that Mr. Matthews engaged in protected activity when he advised Mr. Lynch that he believed he was the subject of racial discrimination after the incident in August 2004 when Mr. Betts placed some type of object in Mr. Matthews' brake line causing an obstruction.

The fact that Mr. Matthews was not allowed to work during the month of December and the beginning of early January and not receiving pay qualifies as an adverse employment action to satisfy the second element of a retaliation claim.

Finally, it is clear that a causal connection between Mr. Matthews' comment regarding racial discrimination and the subsequent adverse employment action because after Mr. Matthews made his internal complaint of race discrimination he had to go through many obstacles to return to work.

Base on the foregoing, we respectfully request that the Delaware Department of Labor consider this additional information and either continue its investigation or issue a reasonable cause finding for retaliation in favor of Mr. Matthews and against Mountaire Farms of Delaware. If you have any questions or require any additional information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Thank you in advance for your attention and cooperation in this matter.

Very truly yours,

KERI L. MORRIS

Keri L. Morris

KLM:cab

cc: Mr. Timothy C. Matthews

%JS 44 (Rev. 11/04)

CIVIL COVER SHEET

06-330-

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS		DEFENDANTS						
	of First Listed Plaintiff Imothy Mut XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)	County of Residence of First Listed Defendant (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE LAND INVOLVED.						
(c) Attorney's (Finn Name,	, Address, and Telephone Number)	Attorneys (If Known) 255 787 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800 800						
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff						
☐ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)	(For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State Incorporated or Principal Place Of Business In This State						
Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)	Citizen of Another State 2 2 Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State						
		Citizen or Subject of a 3 5 Foreign Nation 6 - 6 5 Foreign Country						
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Place an "X" in One Box Only) TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY OTHER STATUTES						
110 Insurance 120 Marine 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excl. Veterans) 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits 190 Other Contract 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise REAL-PROPERTY 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assanlt, Libel & PERSONAL INJURY Med. Malpractice Med. Malpractice Product Liability Product Liability							
V. ORIGIN Continuation Continua								
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): Brief description of cause: Rotaliation 305ed on Distrimination (Race)								
VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION DEMAND \$ CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 JURY DEMAND: Yes No								
VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions): JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER								
5-19-04 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	SIGNATURE OF AT	TORNEY OF RECORD						
	MOUNT APPLYING IFP	JUDGE MAG. JUDGE						